

Appln. No.: 09/671,607
Amendment dated September 13, 2004
Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2004

3643
+
Bhw



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re the Application of:

Abel J. Rautenbach

Serial No.: 09/671,607

Filed: September 28, 2000

For: **IMMOBILIZATION DEVICE**

Atty. Docket No.: 001359.00002

Group Art Unit: 3643

Examiner: S. T. Nguyen

Confirmation No.: 7211

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
220 20th Street S.
Customer Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 11, 2004, Applicants request that the present application be reconsidered in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

The Office Action of March 11, 2004 has been received and considered. In the Office Action, claims 24-36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103.

Claims 24-36 have not been amended. Claims 24-36 remain pending. Reconsideration of the application is requested in view of the following remarks.

Claims 24-29, 31 and 33-35 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,199,442 to Seager et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,411,548 to Carman. As discussed below, the patents to Seager and Carman, while both disclosing probes